February 15, 1989

[Chairman: Mr. Oldring]

[1:31 p.m.]

MR. CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We'll call the meeting to order if we can, please. I want to welcome everybody back to another meeting of the Alberta Heritage Savings Trust Fund standing select committee. Of course, we're here this afternoon to vote on the recommendations. We've had a number of days and full opportunity to discuss these recommendations, so we'll follow the past practice of no discussion on the recommendations themselves but just the opportunity to vote.

MR. GOGO: Mr. Chairman, a point of order?

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Member for Lethbridge-West.

MR. GOGO: Is the chairman satisfied that the notice of meeting arrived with all members of the committee by Friday?

MRS. QUINN: All members were telephoned as well.

MR. GOGO: Thank you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Members received both written notice and were telephoned.

MR. GOGO: Could that be noted in the transcript, Mr. Chairman?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Any other items to be brought forward at this time? If not, then we'll move right on to the recommendations. We'll begin with recommendation 1. All those in favour,

HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed, if any? Carried.

Recommendation 2. All those in favour? Opposed? That one is defeated.

Recommendation 3. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

Recommendation 4. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

MR. PASHAK: Mr. Chairman, may I interrupt for a moment?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Recognize the Member for Calgary-Forest Lawn.

MR. PASHAK: Are these the minutes from ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: We're just presently dealing with the recommendations from draft 5, and we're on recommendation 5.

MR. PASHAK: Thank you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: What we're doing is following the past practice, which has been to not allow discussion but just to have an indication of support or opposition.

Okay. Recommendation 5, moved by the Member for Lacombe. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 6, moved by the Member for Lacombe. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 7. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 8. All those in favour? Opposed? There's a split caucus on that one. Defeated.

- Recommendation 9. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 10. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 11. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 12. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 13. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 14. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 15. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 16. All those in favour?

MR. GOGO: Mr. Chairman, that can't be dealt with as two parts, right? It's only one recommendation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Right. We tried that, I think, at the time it was discussed.

- Nobody in favour, so that one's defeated. [interjection] One in favour. Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 17. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 18. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 19. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
- Recommendation 20. All those in favour? Four in favour. Opposed? Six. Defeated.
- Recommendation 21. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.
  - Twenty-two. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 23. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

MR. R. MOORE: You're one up on me.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You're one up on the Member for Lacombe; that's right.

Recommendation 24. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 25. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 26. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 27. All those in favour? Opposed? That one's defeated.

- Recommendation 28. All those in favour? Opposed? That one is defeated.
- Recommendation 29. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 30. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 31. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 32. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated.

Recommendation 33. All those in favour? Opposed, if any? Carried.

Recommendation 34. All those in favour? Opposed? Defeated. Short-lived success. You tied with the Member for

Calgary-Forest Lawn.

- Recommendation 35. All those in favour? Opposed? That one is defeated.
- Recommendation 36. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

Recommendation 37. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

Recommendation 38. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

Recommendation 39. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

Recommendation 40. All those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

Recommendation 41 had been withdrawn.

MR. FISCHER: Why are you hesitating?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Just double-checking that 41 was withdrawn. It has been withdrawn.

Recommendation 42. All those in favour? Opposed? That one is carried.

Recommendations 43 and 44 were both withdrawn.

That concludes the voting on the 1988-89 recommendations.

MR. GOGO: Mr. Chairman, I wanted to ask you and members of the committee a question. Are there any that we voted on that are diametrically opposed and they were both voted for? Do you know? I haven't had time to read them. Like, I look at Mr. Fischer's, and it says that there's got to be a "monetary return." Is that in conflict with a recommendation of spending money somewhere else? I'm just talking now about consistency, because we're the authors of the report. Maybe other members can answer the question. I don't think there are, but I just thought I'd raise it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think that at this point, you know, we've had discussion of recommendations and we've voted on them.

MR. GOGO: I just raise that to ....

MR. CHAIRMAN: Sure.

Any other matters that need to be raised at this time?

I should point out that everybody did receive some information as it relates to the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research. I think that is something the committee will have to deal with. Perhaps in the next month or two we can reconvene.

Also, there was an inquiry on the hopper cars. Again, I've got some information to report back on that as well.

If there's no further information to bring up at this time, we should point out that it's the Member for Lethbridge-West's birthday today, and I'm sure all the committee would join with me in wishing him a happy birthday. [applause] It's hard to believe that him and I are almost the same age; at least he keeps telling me that.

AN HON. MEMBER: He and I.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You too?

Okay. If there's no further business ... The Member for

Lethbridge-West.

MR. GOGO: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, I wanted to make a motion as it applies to the chairman, and the motion would be that the chairman claim up to five days' remuneration and expenses for time he has spent preparing for today's meeting. If I can speak to the motion, I don't know how many days, so the motion reads up to five days. Members of the committee, I think the chairman has spent a lot of time putting things together, with Ann, to see that this was ready for us today, so I think it would be appropriate that he could make a claim of that nature. That's my motion.

MR. R. MOORE: I second that motion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I would assume, then, that you'd also be referring to the report that will have to be finalized as well. Yeah.

MR. GOGO: The balance of the business, I guess, of the committee.

DR. WEST: Could I ask if there's a precedent set for such a motion?

MR. GOGO: It's been done each year, Dr. West.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, it's been done each year to address two things; that is, preparation of the initial meetings and scheduling and whatnot throughout the year and for the drafting of the final report. So I would assume that that would take care of both of those things.

Any further discussion on that? If not, all those in favour? Opposed, if any? Carried unanimously. Thank you.

Any further business that needs to be brought up at this time?

MR. PASHAK: Doesn't the committee come into nonexistence the day before we go into the next session?

MR. CHAIRMAN: The day before we go into the next session, yes.

MR. PASHAK: So, then, our work is really finished as of Thursday.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Until a committee is reappointed.

MR. PASHAK: So it will be the reconstituted committee that will approve this year's report, then, not this committee.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Right.

Any further discussion? If not ....

MR. CHERRY: I move.

MR. CHAIRMAN: A motion by the Member for Lloydminster to adjourn.

Thank you very much, everybody, for your assistance and co-operation throughout all the hearings this year.

[The committee adjourned at 1:48 p.m.]